

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary		Application No. 10/747,659	Applicant(s) STYLES ET AL.
		Examiner MARINA LEE	Art Unit 2192

All Participants: (1) MARINA LEE.
(2) Colby C. Nuttall (Reg. No. 58,146).

Date of Interview: 29 January 2010

Status of Application: Allowance
(3) _____.
(4) _____.

Time: 5:15 P.M. (EST)

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.
 Rejection(s) discussed:
 n/a

Claims discussed:
 1, 2, 8, 9, 11, and 16

Prior art documents discussed:
 n/a

Part II.
 SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Part III.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

A phone call was made to Mr. Nuttall such that during the phone interview, examiner proposed amend to claims 1, 2, 8, 9, and 11 and cancel claim 16 for obviating any potential 35 U.S.C. 112/2nd ¶¶ and 35 U.S.C. 101 issues and to put the claims in condition for allowance.

A final agreement was agreed upon for both parties such that amend to claims 1, 2, 8, 9, 11 and cancel claim 16 with further detail in (e.g. see Examiner's Amendment).